

## Auto correlation

One of the important assumption of OLS is that there is no autocorrelation i.e. the random disturbance term is related with its own values.

$$\text{i.e. } \text{cov}(u_i, u_j) \neq 0 \quad \forall i \neq j$$

$$\Rightarrow E(u_i u_j) \neq 0 \quad \text{as}$$

$$E(u_i) = E(u_j) = 0 \quad \forall i \neq j$$

This is known as autocorrelation -

It is a time series problem.

We will consider 1<sup>st</sup> autoregressive errors [AR(1)] i.e.

$$u_t = \rho u_{t-1} + e_t$$

where  $e_t$  are serially uncorrelated with mean zero and variance  $\sigma_e^2$ .

It is called an autoregression because it is a usual regression model with  $u_t$  regressed on  $u_{t-1}$ .

It is called 1<sup>st</sup> order autoregression because  $u_t$  is regressed

on its past with only one lag.

Now, we will derive  $\text{Var}(u_t)$  and the correlation between  $u_t$  and lagged values of  $u_t$ . Thus  $u_t$  depends on  $e_t, e_{t-1}, e_{t-2}, \dots$ . Since  $e_t$  are serially independent and  $u_{t-1}$  depends on  $e_{t-1}, e_{t-2}$  and so on but not on  $e_t$  we have,

$$E(u_{t-1}, e_t) = 0$$

Since  $E(e_t) = 0$ , we have  $E(u_t) = 0$  for all  $t$ .

If we denote  $\text{Var}(u_t)$  by  $\sigma^2$  we have,

$$\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(u_t) = E(u_t^2)$$

$$= E(p u_{t-1} + e_t)^2$$

$$= p^2 \sigma^2 + \sigma_e^2 \text{ since } \text{cov}(u_{t-1}, e_t) = 0$$

Thus we have,

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{\sigma_e^2}{1-p^2}$$

This gives the variance of  $u_t$  in terms of the variance of  $e_t$  and the parameter  $p$ .

Let us now derive the correlations.  
Denoting the correlation between  $u_t$  and  $u_{t-s}$  by  $\rho_s$ , we get,

$$E(u_t \cdot u_{t-s}) = \sigma^2 \rho_s$$

But  $E(u_t \cdot u_{t-s})$

$$= \rho E(u_{t-1} \cdot u_{t-s}) + E(u_t \cdot u_{t-s})$$

Hence,  $\rho_s = \rho \cdot \rho_{s-1} + 0$

$$\rho_s = \rho \cdot \rho_{s-1}$$

Since,  $\rho_0 = 1$  we get by successive substitution

$$\rho_1 = \rho, \quad \rho_2 = \rho^2, \quad \rho_3 = \rho^3, \dots$$

Thus, the lag correlations are all powers of  $\rho$  and decline geometrically.

These expressions can be used to derive the co-variance matrix of the errors and, using what is known as generalised least squares (GLS).

Consider the model,

$$Y_t = \alpha + \beta x_t + u_t$$

$$u_t = \rho u_{t-1} + e_t$$

By applying OLS in the above model, we get the OLS estimators are unbiased but they will not be efficient. Further the tests of significance we apply, which will be based on the wrong co-variance matrix will be wrong.

$$\text{Here, } \text{Var}(u_t) = \sigma^2$$

$$\text{cov}(u_t, u_{t-j}) = \rho^j \sigma^2$$

If  $u_t$  are AR(1) we have  $\rho^j = \rho^j$

The OLS estimator of  $\beta$  is,

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum x_t y_t}{\sum x_t^2}$$

$$\text{Hence, } \hat{\beta} - \beta = \frac{\sum x_t u_t}{\sum x_t^2}$$

$$\text{and } E(\hat{\beta} - \beta) = 0$$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) = \frac{1}{(\sum x_t^2)^2} \text{Var}(\sum x_t u_t)$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{(\sum x_t^2)^2} \left[ \sum x_t^2 + 2\rho \sum x_t x_{t-1} + 2\rho^2 \sum x_t x_{t-2} + \dots \right]$$

Since,  $\text{Cov}(u_t, u_{t-j}) = \rho^j \cdot \sigma^2$

Thus we have,

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{(\sum x_t^2)^2} \left[ 1 + 2\rho \frac{\sum x_t x_{t-1}}{\sum x_t^2} + 2\rho^2 \frac{\sum x_t x_{t-2}}{\sum x_t^2} + \dots \right]$$

If we ignore the autocorrelation problem, we would be computing

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum x_t^2}$$

Thus, we would be ignoring the expression in the bracket of the above expression. To get an idea of the magnitude of this expression, let us assume that the  $x_t$  series also follow an AR(1) process with  $\text{Var}(x_t) = \sigma_x^2$

and  $\text{cov}(x_t, x_{t-1}) = \rho$ . Since we are now assuming  $x_t$  to be stochastic we will consider the asymptotic variance of  $\beta$ . The expression in the bracket is now,

$$1 + 2\rho\sigma + 2\rho^2\sigma^2 + \dots$$

$$= 1 + \frac{2\rho\sigma}{1-\rho\sigma} = \frac{1+\rho\sigma}{1-\rho\sigma}$$

$$\text{Thus, } \text{var}(\hat{\beta}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n\sigma_x^2} \left( \frac{1+\rho\sigma}{1-\rho\sigma} \right)$$

where  $n$  is the no. of obs.

We know that,  $\text{var}(u) = \sigma^2$

$$= \frac{\sigma_e^2}{1-\rho^2}$$

$$\text{or, } \sigma_e^2 = \sigma^2(1-\rho^2)$$

$$\therefore \text{var}(\hat{\beta}) = \frac{\sigma_e^2}{n\sigma_x^2(1-\rho^2)} \left( \frac{1+\rho\sigma}{1-\rho\sigma} \right)$$

Thus, the consequences of autocorrelation are  $\rightarrow$

1) The least squares estimators are unbiased but are not efficient

Sometimes they are considerably less efficient than the procedures that take account of the autocorrelation.

(2) The sampling variances are biased and sometimes likely to be seriously understated. Thus  $R^2$  as well as  $t$  and  $F$  statistics tend to be exaggerated.

$$\frac{1 + \rho}{1 - \rho} = \frac{1 + \rho}{1 - \rho} + 1$$

$$\left( \frac{1 + \rho}{1 - \rho} \right) \frac{\sigma^2}{2n} = \left( \hat{\sigma}^2 \right) \frac{1}{2n}$$